# A TEM analysis of the $Si_3N_4/Si_3N_4$ joint brazed with a Cu-Zn-Ti filler metal

J. ZHANG<sup>\*</sup>, C. F. LIU School of Materials Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, People's Republic of China E-mail: zhangjie@jwri.osaka-u.ac.jp; jiezhang606@hotmail.com

M. NAKA Joining and Welding Research Institute, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan

Q.C. MENG, Y. ZHOU School of Materials Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, People's Republic of China

Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> ceramic was joined to itself using a filler alloy of (CuZn)85Ti15 at 1123–1323 K for 15 min. TEM observation showed that a reaction zone of TiN and/or Ti<sub>2</sub>N exists at the interface between the ceramic and filler alloy, and the center of the joint is composed of Cu-Zn solid solution in which there are Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn and Ti<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> reaction phases. With increasing brazing temperature, both the thickness of the reaction zone and the amount and size of the Ti<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> phase increase, while the amount and size of the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase decrease. When the brazing temperature reached 1323 K, the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase disappeared. When the brazing temperature is lower than 1223 K, the interfacial reaction zone is mainly composed of Ti<sub>2</sub>N, which has a cylindrical shape and orientates randomly in the zone. There is a crystal orientation relationship between the Ti<sub>2</sub>N in the reaction zone and the Cu in the Cu-Zn solid solution, which is:  $\{110\}_{Ti2N}//\{420\}_{Cu}, \langle001\rangle_{Ti2N}//(001\rangle_{Cu}$ . When the brazing temperature is higher than 1223 K, the interfacial zone is composed of TiN, which has a plate shape crossing each other. © 2004 Kluwer Academic Publishers

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic has high thermal and wearing resistance and is a promising material for high temperature applications. However, it is difficult to manufacture the  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic workpieces with large dimensions and complicated shapes because the  $Si_3N_4$ ceramic has a low ductility. In recently 20 years, many studies have been focused on the techniques of ceramic joining because it can be used not only for low-cost and high-reliability manufacturing of ceramic parts with complicated shapes but also to repair the ceramic parts with cracks. Various of methods have been adopted for ceramic joining [1–4], in which active metal brazing is widely investigated because it is a simple process to obtain high strength ceramic joints with different shapes and sizes.

Most of the current research about the active brazing of  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic has been focused on the following three points: (1) the effect of brazing parameters on the microstructure and properties of the joints; (2) the analysis of residual stress at the joint interface; (3) the behavior of the interfacial reaction and the examination of the reaction products [5–8]. The bonding strength of the joint greatly depends on the microstructure of the joints. Therefore, this work studies the reaction behavior and microstructure of the interfaces at  $Si_3N_4/Si_3N_4$ joint using Cu-Zn-Ti filler alloy and to observe and analyze the relationship between the different phases within the joint.

#### 2. Materials and experimental procedures

Cu65Zn35 alloy foil with a thickness of 0.1 mm and Ti foil with a thickness of 20  $\mu$ m were used as filler materials to braze the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> ceramic. By adjusting the amount of two kinds of foils, a filler alloy containing 15 at.% Ti will be formed at the joint between  $Si_3N_4$ ceramic. Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> ceramic brazing was carried out in a vacuum of  $1.33-1.67 \times 10^{-3}$  Pa for 15 min under a pressure of  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  MPa. The brazing temperatures were from 1123 to 1323 K with an interval of 50 K. Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> ceramic samples with a size of  $\Phi$  6  $\times$  4 mm were ground to a surface finish of  $Ra = 30 \ \mu m$ , and then were cleaned together with the metal foils in a ultrasonic bath. The cleaned metal foils were put between two pieces of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> ceramic and then brazed in the furnace. The microstructure of the joints was observed and analyzed by means of electron probe microanalysis

(EPMA), X-ray diffractometer (XRD) and transmission electron microscope (TEM).

#### 3. Results

Fig. 1 shows the morphology of the  $Si_3N_4/Si_3N_4$  joints brazed at 1123–1323 K for 15 min using (CuZn)85Ti15 as the filler alloy. The elemental distributions across the joint are shown in Fig. 2, which was obtained by EPMA. The results shown in Figs 1 and 2 indicate that there is a reaction zone (region I) between the filler alloy and the  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic, which contains a larger amount



Figure 1 Microstructure of the  $Si_3N_4/Si_3N_4$  joint brazed at different temperatures.



Figure 2 Elemental distributions in the filler alloy across the  $\rm Si_3N_4/Si_3N_4$  joint brazed at 1173 K for 15 min.



*Figure 3* TEM image showing the morphology of  $Ti_2N$  in the reaction zone obtained during brazing at 1173 K for 15 min: (a) morphology of the  $Ti_2N$  and (b) diffraction patterns of the  $Ti_2N$ .

of titanium nitride and a small amount of compounds containing titanium and silicon. The middle of the joint is Cu-Zn solid solution (region II and IV), in which there are some new phases in the center part of the Cu-Zn solid solution (region III). With increasing brazing temperature, both the thickness of the reaction zone and the amount and size of the reactant containing Ti and Si in the Cu-Zn solid solution increase. It can be also found that the thickness of both the reaction zone and the joint layer decreases obviously when the brazing temperature reaches 1323 K.

Fig. 3 is the TEM image showing the morphology of titanium nitride in the reaction zone obtained during brazing at 1173 K for 15 min. Both composition analysis (Fig. 2) and diffraction patterns (Fig. 3b) indicate that the titanium nitride in the reaction zone obtained at lower temperature is Ti<sub>2</sub>N. Fig. 4a also shows the morphology of the Ti<sub>2</sub>N in the reaction zone obtained with the same brazing condition. From Figs 3a and 4a it can be seen that the  $Ti_2N$  in the reaction zone obtained during brazing at 1173 K for 15 min has a cylindrical shape with the length and diameter of 0.4–0.8  $\mu$ m and 0.1–0.2  $\mu$ m, respectively. Fig. 3a shows the morphology of the Ti<sub>2</sub>N in the longitudinal direction, and Fig. 4a shows the cross section of the Ti<sub>2</sub>N. Fig. 4b shows the electron energy loss spectrum (EELS) result of the Ti<sub>2</sub>N shown in Fig. 4a, indicating the existence of Ti and N in the new phase in reaction zone.

Figs 3a and 4a also show that there is high density of dislocations around the  $Ti_2N$  in the Cu-Zn solid solution. Because of the large difference of the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) between  $Ti_2N$  and Cu-Zn solid solution, interfacial stress will be formed during the cooling from brazing temperature, resulting in not only internal residual stress at the interface between  $Ti_2N$  and Cu-Zn solid solution but also local plastic deformation in the Cu-Zn solid solution and the formation of dislocations around the  $Ti_2N$  phases as shown in Figs 3a and 4a.

Fig 4c shows the diffraction patterns, which contain the patterns of both Cu-Zn solid solution in [001] direction and  $Ti_2N$  in [001] direction. Calculating and



*Figure 4* TEM results showing the morphology and composition of the  $Ti_2N$  phase and the crystal orientation relationship between  $Ti_2N$  and Cu-Zn solid solution: (a) morphology of the cross section of the  $Ti_2N$  phase, (b) EELS results showing the existence of Ti and N in the  $Ti_2N$  phase, (c) diffraction patterns of both  $Ti_2N$  and Cu matrix, and (d) indexing of the patterns.

analyzing the result indicate that the pattern with larger spots corresponds to the Cu-Zn solid solution and that with smaller spots is attributed to the Ti<sub>2</sub>N phase. The indexing results are shown in Fig. 4d, which clearly shows a crystal orientation relationship between Cu matrix and Ti<sub>2</sub>N phase. The orientation relationship is  $\{110\}_{Ti2N}//\{420\}_{Cu}, \langle 001\rangle_{Ti2N}//\langle 001\rangle_{Cu}$ .

Fig. 5 is the TEM image showing the morphology of titanium nitride in the reaction zone obtained during brazing at 1223 K for 15 min. Comparing with the Ti<sub>2</sub>N shown in Fig. 3a, the titanium nitride formed at 1223 K is much more coarser than Ti<sub>2</sub>N. The titanium nitride shown in Fig. 5a has a plate shape with



*Figure 5* TEM image showing the morphology of TiN in the reaction zone obtained during brazing at 1233 K for 15 min: (a) morphology of the TiN and (b) diffraction patterns of the TiN.

a thickness of  $0.5-1.0 \ \mu$ m, and they cross each other and oriented randomly in the Cu-Zn solid solution. The diffraction patterns of the titanium nitride shown in Fig. 5b indicate that the titanium nitride formed at 1223 K is actually TiN. Because the Cu-Zn solid solution has been removed during the preparation of the TEM sample, it could not be confirmed if there is crystal orientation relationship between TiN and Cu-Zn solid solution.

The results above indicate that  $Ti_2N$  is formed in the reaction zone during the brazing at lower temperatures ( $\leq 1173$  K), while TiN is formed during brazing at higher temperature ( $\geq 1223$  K). X-ray diffraction results shown in Fig. 6 also indicate that with increasing brazing temperature, the peak value of the  $Ti_2N$  phase decreases and that of the TiN phase increases.

## 4. Discussion

According to the thermodynamic data [9], the free energy of formation of  $Si_3N_4$  and TiN is

$$\Delta G^{0}(\mathrm{Si}_{3}\mathrm{N}_{4}) = -361.9 + 0.1575\mathrm{T} \,(\mathrm{kJ/mol}) \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta G^{0}(\text{TiN}) = -672.6 + 0.1865 \text{T (kJ/mol)} \quad (2)$$

respectively, indicating that TiN is more stable than  $Si_3N_4$  within the temperature range employed here. Therefore, during the brazing process, with increasing temperature, filler alloy is molten gradually and the Ti in the melt will diffuse to the interface between  $Si_3N_4$  and filler alloy and concentrate near the surface of the  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic, and then following reaction will take



*Figure 6* XRD spectrums of the reaction products at the  $Si_3N_4/Si_3N_4$  joints brazed at different temperatures: (A) 1123 K, (B) 1173 K, (C) 1223 K, (D) 1273 K, and (E) 1323 K.

place to form titanium nitride [10]:

$$Si_3N_4(s) + 8Ti(l) = 4Ti_2N(s) + 3Si(s)$$
 (3)

$$Si_3N_4(s) + 4Ti(l) = 4TiN(s) + 3Si(s)$$
 (4)

According to the Ti-N binary alloy phase diagram [11], the Ti<sub>2</sub>N phase is stable until 1373 K when the N content is 32.4–34 at.%. With increasing temperature, the TiN phase becomes stable. The transformation temperature between Ti<sub>2</sub>N and TiN decreases with increasing N content. In the brazing temperature range used in this investigation (1123–1323 K), Ti<sub>2</sub>N phase will be formed according to reaction (3) at the interface between Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and filler alloy when the brazing temperature is lower. When the temperature surpasses 1223 K, TiN phase will be formed at the interface instead of Ti<sub>2</sub>N phase.

During the process of the reaction (3) and/or (4), Si will be released from the  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic and diffuses to the middle of the filler alloy and reacts with Ti to form  $Ti_5Si_3$  according to the following reaction [10]:

$$5 \text{Ti}(l) + 3 \text{Si}(s) = \text{Ti}_5 \text{Si}_3(s)$$
 (5)

With increasing brazing temperature and holding time, more and more  $Ti_2N$  and/or TiN phases are formed and the thickness of the reaction zone increases. The reaction zone will act as a barrier to the diffusion of Ti and Si and reduce the reaction rate. Kim [12] pointed out that the thickness growth kinetics obey the parabolic rate law, and when the Ti content surpasses 15 at.%, the reaction rate will be controlled by Ti diffusion in the reaction zone of the joint.

Zn in the filler alloy does not react with the  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic, however, it decreases the melting temperature of the filler alloy [13], enabling the brazing process be

carried out at lower temperatures. From Fig. 2 it can be found that a phase with large size and grey color exists in the Cu-Zn solid solution at the center of the joint. The composition of this phase was determined to be Cu56.43Ti23.45Zn19.40. Based on the Cu-Zn-Ti ternary phase diagram [14] and the composition analysis results, this phase is suggested to be Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn. The X-ray diffraction results shown in Fig. 6 also indicate the existence of the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase, although the only one unique peak is a little weak because the amount of the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase is comparably lower. Fig. 6 also indicates several overlapping lines, which makes it difficult to identify the presence of the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase. With increasing brazing temperature and holding time, more and more Zn is evaporated, leading to a decreasing content of Zn in the filler alloy. When the brazing temperature surpasses 1223 K, Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase could not be formed, as shown in Fig. 6, due to the decreasing content of Zn in the filler alloy. From the experimental results and above analysis, it

From the experimental results and above analysis, it is clear that the new phases in the center part of the Cu-Zn solid solution are  $Ti_5Si_3$  and/or Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn. With increasing brazing temperature, the size and amount of the  $Ti_5Si_3$  phase increase, while that of the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase decrease. The viscosity of the melt filler alloy decreases and its flowability increases with increasing brazing temperature, which leads to more and more liquid filler alloy flowing out of the joint, resulting in a decrease thickness of both the reaction zone and the joint layer.

## 5. Conclusions

 $Si_3N_4$  ceramic was successfully joined to itself using a filler alloy of (CuZn)85Ti15 at 1123–1323 K for 15 min. Following conclusions were drawn:

(1) A reaction zone of TiN and/or  $Ti_2N$  exists at the interface between the ceramic and filler alloy, and the center of the joint is composed of Cu-Zn solid solution in which there are some reaction phases of Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn and/or  $Ti_5Si_3$ .

(2) With increasing brazing temperature, the thickness of the reaction zone increases. When the brazing temperature surpasses 1223 K, the thickness of both reaction zone and joint layer decrease with increasing brazing temperature, because the melt filler alloy flows out of the joint more easily at higher temperature.

(3) When the brazing temperature is lower than 1223 K, the interfacial reaction zone is mainly composed of Ti<sub>2</sub>N, which has a cylindrical shape and orientates randomly in this zone. There is a crystal orientation relationship between the Ti<sub>2</sub>N in the reaction zone and the Cu in the Cu-Zn solid solution, which is:  $\{110\}_{Ti2N}//$  $\{420\}_{Cu}$ ,  $\langle001\rangle_{Ti2N}//\langle001\rangle_{Cu}$ . When the brazing temperature is higher than 1223 K, the interfacial zone is composed of TiN, which has a criss-cross plate morphology.

(4) With increasing brazing temperature, the amount and size of the  $Ti_5Si_3$  phase increase, while, the amount and size of the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase decrease. When the brazing temperature reached 1223 K, the Cu<sub>2</sub>TiZn phase could not be formed.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Foundation of Harbin Institute of Technology, China (Grant No. HIT.2002.16), and the foundation of Heilongjiang Province for the researcher returning from foreign countries (Grant No. LC01C12).

#### References

- 1. V. CURICUTA, D. R. ALEXANDER, Y. LIU, B. M. ROBERTSON and D. E. POULAIN, *Mater. Sci. Eng.* B 68 (2000) 196.
- 2. J. CHEN, P. WEI, Q. MEI and Y. HUANG, J. Euro. Ceram. Soc. 20 (2000) 2685.
- K. TAKAKI, Y. FUJIMAKI, Y.TAKADA, M. ITAGAKI,
  T. FUJIWARA, S. OHSHIMA, K. OYAMA, I. TAKAHASHI and T. KUWASHIMA, *Vacuum* 65 (2002) 457.
- 4. C. S. LEE, L. C. DE JONGHE and G. THOMAS, Acta mater. 49 (2001) 3767.
- 5. A. A. PROKOPENKO, V. S. ZHURAVLRV and Y. V. NAIDICH, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 17 (1998) 2121.
- 6. T. P. SWILER and R. E. LOEHMAN, *Acta Mater.* **48** (2000) 4419.

- 7. L. ESPOSITO, A. BELLOST and S. LANDI, *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.* **82** (1999) 3597.
- 8. D. SOTIROPOULOU, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 16 (1997) 693.
- 9. Y. J. LIANG and Y. CH. CHE, in "Handbook of Mineral Thermodynamics" (Press of Northeast University of China, 1993) p. 473.
- 10. T. SHIMOO, K. OKAMURA and S. ADACHI, J. Mater. Sci. 32 (1997) 3031.
- THADDEUS B. MASSALSKI, in "Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams" (American Society for Metal, Metals Park, Ohio 44073, 1986) p. 970.
- 12. D. H. KIM and S. H. HWANG, J. Mater. Sci. 26 (1991) 3223.
- 13. J. ZHANG, M. NAKA, Y. ZHOU and T. Q. LAI, in Proceedings of International Symposium of Young Scholars on Mechanics and Material Engineering for Science and Experiments, Science Press, Hunan, China (2001) p. 222.
- VILLARS, A. PRINCE and H. OKAMOTO, in "Handbook of Ternary Alloy Phase Diagrams" (10104, ASM International. The materials information, 1995) p. 10103.

Received 23 January 2003 and accepted 18 March 2004